

Edited by Woodrow W. Clark

# Sustainable Cities and Communities Design Handbook

Green Engineering, Architecture, and Technology

Second Edition



# Contents

List of Contributors	xix
About the Editor	xxi
<b>1. Introduction</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
References	8
Further Reading	9
<b>2. The Green Industrial Revolution</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
How Communities and Nations Move Ahead	13
What Is a Renewable Energy Power Source?	15
Deregulation Benefits: Myths About Economic Efficiency as Conservation Is Needed Too	19
Better Investment Decisions	19
Politicized Priorities Excluded	20
The Issue of Deregulating Agile Energy Systems	22
Conclusion: Economic Development in an Agile Energy System	26
Appendix A: The Danish Case for the Green Industrial Revolution	28
Appendix B: E-mail Surveillance Data on ENRON	29
Appendix C: From Central Power Grid to Local Distributed Power Systems	29
Appendix D: Smart Grid That Includes Local Distributed Power and Renewable Energy Generation	30
References	30
Further Reading	31
<b>3. Cross-Disciplinary Scientific Foundation for Sustainability: Qualitative Economics</b>	
<i>Michael Fast, Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
The Paradigm Shift: Economics as a Science	36
Subjectivism Paradigm: Lifeworld Perspective and Symbolic Interactionism	40
Philosophy of Science	40
Phenomenology: The Tradition of the Lifeworld Perspective	41



Symbolic Interactionism: In the Subjectivist Theoretical Paradigm	43
Transformational Linguistics: Economic Rules of Formalism in Business Practices	46
Qualitative Economics: Toward a Science of Economics	47
Organizing: Fitting Together of Lines of Activities and Actions	48
Organizing: Dynamism of the Firm	48
The Actors' Experiential Space: Organizational Lifeworld	51
Constituting of the Organizational Activities and of the "Firm"	52
The Actors' Development Capability	54
Organizing and the Organizational Paradigm	55
Actor's Extension of the Experiential Space	56
Summary	56
References	59
Further Reading	61
<b>4. Political–Economic Governance of Renewable Energy Systems: The Key to Create Sustainable Communities</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II, Xing Li</i>	
Corporate and Business Influences and Power	66
International Cases	66
China Leapfrogs Ahead	67
China Has "Leapfrogged" Into the Green Industrial Revolution	67
The Western Economic Paradigm Must Change	68
Introduction and Background	69
EU Policies	74
Japan and South Korea are Leaders in the Green Industrial Revolution	76
Distributed Renewable Energy Generation for Sustainable Communities	79
Developing World Leaders in Energy Development and Sustainable Technologies	80
Costs, Finances, and Return on Investment	81
Conclusions and Future Research Recommendations	84
Chart A: The Germany Feed-in-Tariff Policy and Results (1990–2010)	85
Chart B: The Germany Feed-in-Tariff Policy Economic Results (1990–2007)	86
References	86
Further Reading	88
<b>5. Renewable Energy: Scaling Deployment in the United States and in Developing Economies</b>	
<i>Joseph Kantenbacher, Rebekah Shirley</i>	
Introduction to Sources and Uses of Energy in the United States	89
Wind	90

<b>Solar</b>	92
Solar Photovoltaics	93
Solar Thermal	93
<b>Geothermal</b>	94
<b>Biopower</b>	95
<b>Marine and Hydrokinetic</b>	96
<b>Advanced Renewables Deployment</b>	97
Renewables and Buildings	97
Vehicle-to-Grid Systems	97
Hybrid Systems	98
<b>Summary of Scaling Renewables in the United States</b>	98
<b>The Energy Access Gap: Remote and Under-grid Populations Not Being Reached</b>	99
<b>Distributed Renewable Energy Solutions: Pivotal to Universal Energy Access</b>	100
<b>The Habits of Highly Effective Markets: Trends Across High-Performing Countries</b>	102
<b>From the Bottom Up: The Sierra Leone Success Story</b>	105
<b>Conclusions</b>	107
<b>References</b>	108
<b>Further Reading</b>	109
<b>6. Development Partnership of Renewable Energies Technology and Smart Grid in China</b>	
<i>Anjun J. Jin, Wenbo Peng</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	111
<b>The Solar Electricity Systems and Their Relationship With the Grid</b>	113
<b>Wind Power</b>	116
<b>Data Response and Power Transmission Lines: Examples of the United States</b>	119
<b>The Smart Grid and Market Solution</b>	121
<b>China Rebuilds a Power System and Smart Grid</b>	123
Merits of the Chinese-Style Smart Grid	125
Discussion on Chinese Cases, Investment, and Forecast	125
Historical Review and Attributes of the Third-Generation Grid	126
Light-Emitting Diode and Energy Efficiency Case Discussion	127
<b>References</b>	128
<b>7. Sustainable Towns: The Case of Frederikshavn Aiming at 100% Renewable Energy</b>	
<i>Henrik Lund, Poul A. Østergaard</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	129
<b>Definition of Renewable Energy</b>	130
Definition of Project Area	130
Development Phases	131

	<b>The Present Situation: Year 2007, Approximately 20% Renewable Energy</b>	132
	<b>The First Step: Frederikshavn in the Year 2009</b>	135
	<b>Frederikshavn in the Year of 2015</b>	136
	New Waste Incineration Combined Heat And Power Plant	137
	Expansion of District Heating Grid	138
	Transport	139
	Biogas Plant and Methanol Production	139
	Geothermal and Heat Pumps	140
	Combined Heat and Power Plants and Boilers	140
	Wind Power	141
	<b>Energy System Analysis</b>	141
	<b>Phase 3: Frederikshavn in the Year 2030—100% Renewable Energy and Less Biomass</b>	144
<b>8.</b>	<b>Life Cycle Analysis Versus Cost Benefit of Renewable Energy: Solar Systems Photovoltaics in Public Private Partnerships</b>	
	<i>Tom Pastore</i>	
	<b>Introduction</b>	147
	Energy Challenges are Enormous	148
	SolarCity—Solar PV Array Installations on City Facilities	148
	Due Diligence Procedures	148
	<b>Life Cycle Analysis of a PV System From a Financial Perspective</b>	149
	Available Incentives	149
	Power Purchase Agreement Business Model	151
	Calculating Utility Rates	151
	Financial Analyses	152
	Consideration of Externalities	156
	<b>Conclusion</b>	157
	<b>Reference</b>	157
<b>9.</b>	<b>Public Buildings and Institutions: Solar Power and Energy Conservation as Solutions</b>	
	<i>Douglas N. Yeoman</i>	
	<b>Alternative Energy Public Policy</b>	159
	<b>Legal Mechanisms Facilitating Development of Alternative Energy Sources</b>	160
	California Clean Energy Jobs Act	160
	Energy Management Agreement by Community College Districts	160
	Energy Service Contract and Facility Ground Lease by Public Agencies	161
	Power Purchase Agreement by Governmental Agency	164
	Lease of Photovoltaic System	171
	<b>Treatment of Environmental Incentives</b>	172

<b>10. Life Cycle Analysis: The Economic Analysis of Demand-Side Programs and Projects in California</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II, Arnie Sowell, Don Schultz</i>	
<b>The Basic Methodology</b>	176
Demand-Side Management Categories and Program Definitions	177
Basic Methods	179
Balancing the Tests	182
Limitations: Externality Values and Policy Rules	182
Externality Values	182
Policy Rules	182
<b>Participant Test</b>	183
Definition	183
Benefits and Costs	183
How the Results Can Be Expressed	183
Strengths of the Participant Test	184
Weaknesses of the Participant Test	184
Formulas	185
<b>The Ratepayer Impact Measure Test (Refer to Point 5 of Notes)</b>	186
Definition	186
Benefits and Costs	187
How the Results Can Be Expressed	187
Strengths of the Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	188
Weaknesses of the Ratepayer Impact Measure Test	189
<b>Total Resource Cost Test (Refer to Point 6 of Notes)</b>	191
Definition	191
How the Results Can Be Expressed	192
Strengths of the Total Resource Cost Test	194
Weakness of the Total Resource Cost Test	195
Formulas	195
<b>Program Administrator Cost Test</b>	196
Definition	196
Benefits and Costs	196
How the Results Can Be Expressed	197
Strengths of the Program Administrator Cost Test	197
Weaknesses of the Program Administrator Cost Test	198
Formulas	198
<b>Notes</b>	199
Appendix A	199
Appendix B	202
<b>Glossary of Symbols</b>	204
Appendix C	206
<b>Reference</b>	207
<b>11. The Next Economics: Civic–Social Capitalism</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	209
A New Framework for Understanding Energy and Economics	
Within the Context of Civic Society	211

	Economic Collaborations and Partnerships in Action	228
	Conclusion: Maximizing the Public Good in Energy Economics	230
	References	231
	Further Reading	232
<b>12.</b>	<b>Urban Circular Economy: The New Frontier for European Cities' Sustainable Development</b>	
	<i>Danilo Bonato, Raimondo Orsini</i>	
	The Urban Circular Economy in Europe	235
	The Big Cities Examples: Amsterdam, London, Paris, and Milan on the Leading Edge of the Urban Circular Economy	237
	Where to Find the Resources to Invest in Urban Circular Economy?	240
	How to Overcome This Contradiction?	240
	The Role of Small Cities: the Italian Experience	242
	References and Sources	243
	Chart 1: Circular Economy and Its Impacts on Citizens' Day-to-Day Life	244
	Chart 2: The European Union Circular Economy Action Plan and the Role of the Cities	245
<b>13.</b>	<b>Big Heart Intelligence in Healthy Workplaces and Sustainable Communities</b>	
	<i>Julian Gresser</i>	
	How Far Have We Come?	249
	Big Heart Intelligence Basics	250
	Example 1: Big Heart Intelligence in the Design of Hospitals and Health Care Facilities	251
	Explorers Wheel: Inspiration, Conception, Design	251
	Oxygen for Caregivers	253
	Big Heart Intelligence Process	253
	Example 2: Retirement Communities Linked to Universities and College Towns	254
	Smart Technologies With a Heart	255
	Evolving Buildings Within an Emerging New Paradigm in Global Health	256
	References	257
<b>14.</b>	<b>The European Union: Nordic Countries and Germany</b>	
	<i>Tor Zipkin</i>	
	Germany	259
	Bottrop	259

<b>Denmark</b>	264
Ærø	265
Samsø	265
Bornholm	268
<b>Sweden</b>	269
Växjö	270
Hammarby Sjöstad (Hammarby Lake City)	271
Malmö	274
Rotterdam	275
<b>Discussion</b>	276
<b>References</b>	277
<b>15. Mauritius Island Nation: 100% Renewable Energy System by 2050</b>	
<i>A. Khoodaruth, V. Oree, M.K. Elahee, Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	279
<b>Current Energy Status of Mauritius</b>	282
Primary Energy Requirements	282
Electricity Generation	284
<b>The Government's Vision of Renewable Energies up to 2025</b>	289
<b>Options for a 100% Renewable Energy System by 2050</b>	293
Hydrogen Power and Electric Vehicles	293
Bagasse Gasification	294
Solar Photovoltaic	296
Solar Thermal	296
Onshore and Offshore Wind	297
Ocean Technologies	298
Biofuels	299
Flexible Generation and Storage	300
Smart Grids	301
<b>Conclusions</b>	302
<b>References</b>	303
<b>16. Urban Sustainability and Industrial Migration: The Green Transition of Hefei, China</b>	
<i>Benjamin Leffel</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	307
<b>Green Urban Planning</b>	308
<b>Dueling Investments</b>	310
Hefei's Green Transition	316
<b>Discussion and Conclusion</b>	320
<b>References</b>	322
<b>Further Reading</b>	324



<b>17. Energy Economics in China’s Policy-Making Plan: From Self-Reliance and Market Dependence to Green Energy Independence</b>	
<i>Xing Li, Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
<b>Introduction: From Self-Reliance to Dependency</b>	325
<b>The Real Predicament: Energy-Consumption-Based Economic Growth</b>	330
<b>The Rise of China in the Context of Energy Dependency</b>	334
Africa and Latin America	336
Middle East and Central Asia	336
<b>New Policy Thinking: Change of Economic Growth Strategy and Promoting Sustainable Energy</b>	340
<b>Conclusion Remarks: Challenges and Optimism Ahead</b>	345
<b>Chart 1</b>	346
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	247
<b>References</b>	347
<b>Further Reading</b>	349
<b>18. Energy Strategy for Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II, William Isherwood</i>	
<b>Theme 1: Energy Base, Sustainable Development, and Finance</b>	351
<b>Theme 2: Differences That Exist Between Regions, Cities, and Nations</b>	352
<b>Theme 3: Long-Term Commitments</b>	352
<b>Recommendations and Conclusions</b>	353
Applying Key Case Study Features	353
<b>Implementing Measures</b>	365
<b>Conclusions</b>	365
<b>Supplemental Recommendations: Rural Inner Mongolia</b>	367
Introduction	367
The Problem: Electricity	367
Nonelectric Energy for Heating and Cooking	368
Transportation	368
Toward an Integrated Energy, Water, Waste, and Transportation Infrastructure Strategy	368
Energy Internet	369
Energy Generation is in Transition	369
References	370
<b>References</b>	370
<b>Further Reading</b>	371
<b>Additional Sources</b>	372

<b>19. Business Ventures and Financial Sector in the United Arab Emirates</b>	
<i>Robert Rumiński</i>	
Introduction	373
Small and Medium Enterprises and the Government Financial Support	376
Ease of Doing Business in the UAE	379
Investment Bodies—The Key Players and Contributors	381
Legal Aspects of Conducting Business Activity	382
Banking Sector—The Structure and Recent Developments	389
Other Key Players of the Financial Market	398
Business Cooperation Between the UAE and Poland	403
Prospects for Polish Enterprises in the Persian Gulf States	404
The Persian Gulf Markets	404
Entry Barriers for Polish Entrepreneurs on the Example of Polish Companies of Inglot and Can-Pack/Arab Can	406
Factors Determining the Expansion of Polish Enterprises in the UAE	408
Market Chances and Threats	409
Arabic Investments in Poland	409
The SWOT Analysis of the UAE Market—Business Perspective	410
Conclusions and Summary	411
<b>20. ECO-GEN Energy Solutions: Tahiti Story</b>	
<i>Cheryl Stephens</i>	
Background	413
The Solution	414
The Technology	415
Inside the JouleBox: How the JouleBox Power Station Works	416
The JouleBox Power Station Plants Solution	417
The Tahiti Solution	419
References	419
<b>21. Japanese Smart Communities as Industrial Policy</b>	
<i>Andrew DeWit</i>	
Japanese Smart Communities: Plenty, but Poorly Promoted	421
Opening the Window on Japan's Smart Communities	423
Higashi Matsushima City Smart Disaster Prevention Eco Town	427
The Power Business	430
Japan's Incentives	431
Japan's New Institutions	433

Smart Community Policy Entrepreneurs	436
Japan's National Spatial Strategy	438
Policy Integration in the Compact City	439
Fiscal Support for Smart Communities	441
Post 3-11 Stakeholder Support for Smart Communities	445
Hirosaki Smart City	446
Conclusion	449
References	449
Further Reading	452
<b>22. Sustainable Communities in Costa Rica</b>	
<i>Gerardo Zamora</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	453
Searching for Hope	453
A Jewel in Latin America	455
The Importance of Sustainability	456
A Model for Other Areas	456
<b>Sustainable Communities and Areas of Interest</b>	457
Northern Areas	457
Northwestern Areas	457
Northeastern Areas	460
Central Areas	461
Southwestern Areas	464
Southern Areas	464
<b>The Future of Sustainable Communities in Costa Rica</b>	465
Cultural Movements and Governmental Programs	465
<b>Conclusion</b>	466
A Strong Light in the Horizon	466
Healing in All Directions	466
Ticos y Ticas Mobilizing	467
<b>Further Reading</b>	467
<b>23. Sustainable Development Cases in Africa</b>	
<i>Samantha Bobo</i>	
<b>Africa</b>	469
Egypt	469
Nigeria	482
Kenya	490
<b>References</b>	500
<b>24. Sustainable Agriculture: The Food Chain</b>	
<i>Attilio Coletta</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	503
<b>Social Implications</b>	504
<b>Economic Implications</b>	505

Environmental Implications	508
Developing New Solutions	511
References	512
Further Reading	513
<b>25. Insights on Establishing a Cohesive and Enduring Campus Sustainability Initiative</b>	
<i>Sierra Flanigan, Talia Arnow</i>	
Insight 1: Many Campuses Still Need a Collective and Shared Definition of Sustainability, a Vision for Sustainability, and a Roadmap With Clear Goals and Metrics	516
Insight 2: Sustainability Is Being Established as an Institutional Priority Because It Is an Economic and Strategic Imperative for Organizational Growth, Competitiveness, and Long-Term Stakeholder Value Creation	516
Insight 3: The Opportunity Set for Financing Sustainability Projects Is Expanding and the Economic Returns Are Attractive	517
Insight 4: Collaboration Between Campuses and Communities Is Fundamental to Mitigate and Adapt to Climate Change at the Local and Regional Scale	518
Insight 5: Deep Engagement and Participation of Stakeholders is Vital for Embedding Sustainability Into the Culture of a School	518
Four Core Competencies to Consider for Ensuring a Successful Campus Sustainability Initiative	519
<b>26. The Power of Sustainability: The Story of Kent, Ohio</b>	
<i>Myra Moss</i>	
Background	521
Creation and Implementation of the Kent Sustainable Planning Approach	523
Ohio State University Extension: Sustainable Planning Model	523
<b>Steps in the Creation of the Bicentennial Plan</b>	525
Step 1: Building a Partnership	525
Step 2: Establishing Planning Governance	525
Step 3: Discovering the Community's Shared Vision	526
Step 4: Finalize and Adopt Plan	528
<b>Implementing Multidimensional, Sustainable Goals</b>	528
<b>Central Gateway: Transforming Kent's Downtown</b>	529
Shared Vision Themes	529
<b>Plan Implementation and Outcomes</b>	531
Tying Together the Campus and the Downtown: Haymaker Parkway and University Esplanade	533
<b>Conclusion</b>	535
<b>References</b>	536
<b>Further Reading</b>	536

<b>27. The Los Angeles Community College District: Establishing a Net Zero Energy Campus</b>	
<i>Calvin Lee Kwan, Andrew Hoffmann</i>	
<b>Introduction</b>	537
<b>Background</b>	538
<b>Goal and Objectives</b>	540
<b>Importance of This Study</b>	540
<b>Current Situation</b>	541
Energy Demand Versus Energy Consumption	542
Electric Utility Rates	543
City College Campus Energy Consumption and Demand	543
City College Campus Growth and Demand Side Management	544
<b>Solar PV Array and Setup</b>	548
Solar Energy	548
<b>Conclusion</b>	551
<b>Appendix 1: Map of LA City College Campus Indicating Previous, Current, and Planned Renovations/ Construction</b>	551
<b>Appendix 2: LADWP Energy Rates as of October 1, 2009—Specific for LACC Operations</b>	553
<b>References</b>	556
<b>Further Reading</b>	556
<b>28. Case Study: University of California, Irvine</b>	
<i>Wil Nagel</i>	
<b>29. “Scrappy” Sustainability at Ohio Wesleyan University</b>	
<i>Emily Howald, John Krygier</i>	
<b>A Grassroots Model for Sustainability in Higher Education</b>	561
<b>The Context of Sustainability at Ohio Wesleyan University</b>	561
<b>Coordinating Sustainability Without a Sustainability Coordinator</b>	564
<b>“Scrappy Sustainability” Outcomes</b>	565
<b>A New Model for Sustainability?</b>	570
<b>30. Afterword: A Sustainable Economic and Finance Proposal</b>	
<i>Woodrow W. Clark, II</i>	
<b>The Problem</b>	573
Corporate Governance in an Age of Economic Globalization	575
Political Economy of the State and Public Goods Provision	575
Private and Public Sector Intergenerational Responsibility	576
Political Economy of Income and Wealth Distribution	576
Human Capability and Economic Development	576



<b>Economic Approaches: Overview in Brief</b>	577
Feed-in Tariffs	577
Carbon Incentive (Tax)	577
Master Contracts	577
Solar Company Finance	578
Cap and Trade	578
Investments (Equity)	579
Property Assessed Clean Energy	579
Tax Shifting	579
Bank Mortgages: (Long-Term Transferable Debt)	580
<b>Conclusion Now and for Tomorrow</b>	581
<b>References</b>	582
<b>Further Reading</b>	582
Index	585

## Chapter 29

# “Scrappy” Sustainability at Ohio Wesleyan University

Emily Howald, John Krygier

*Ohio Wesleyan University, Delaware, OH, United States*

### Chapter Outline

A Grassroots Model for Sustainability in Higher Education	561	Coordinating Sustainability Without a Sustainability Coordinator	564
The Context of Sustainability at Ohio Wesleyan University	561	“Scrappy Sustainability” Outcomes	565
		A New Model for Sustainability?	570

### A GRASSROOTS MODEL FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN HIGHER EDUCATION

There are colleges and universities with the expertise and financial resources to invest in large-scale, conspicuous sustainability efforts (such as large solar arrays, stylish LEED-certified buildings, and full-time sustainability staff) and there are those who do not. However, those without the funds for conspicuous sustainability are not necessarily excluded from substantive sustainability efforts. Indeed, we suggest that grassroots, “scrappy” sustainability efforts on college campuses and at other institutions may have certain benefits over top-down, high-investment sustainability.

### THE CONTEXT OF SUSTAINABILITY AT OHIO WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY

Ohio Wesleyan University (OWU) is a small, private, liberal arts college in central Ohio that serves as a modest showcase for a relatively low-cost, grassroots, and distributed approach to sustainability (Fig. 29.1). The university neither has a sustainability coordinator position nor any other employee with distinct expertise in sustainability. None of the faculty have specializations in the field, and there are no classes taught on the subject. As of yet, there



**FIGURE 29.1** Ohio Wesleyan Campus, Delaware Ohio. *Photo Credit: OWU, Office of Communications.*

is no official sustainability plan and there are neither funds nor donations set aside specifically for sustainability projects. OWU has, over the last decade, expanded its endowment, raised significant funds for student travel and research, and embarked on a substantial upgrade to campus student housing. These are all fundamentally important and easily justifiable priorities. Given this situation, it is easy for students, faculty, and staff to feel like not enough is being done to foster sustainability on campus. Instead of complaining about the lack of top-down, large-investment sustainability, a group of students, faculty, and staff have embarked on a grassroots effort to make sustainability work at OWU despite limited resources. Ultimately, we argue, sustainability efforts can succeed if those who believe in the value of sustainability actually do something, then persist in furthering the efforts until something takes hold, and then persist in keeping the efforts going. Successes with these smaller, “scrappy” efforts will, hopefully, lead to larger efforts, backed by a spreading culture of sustainability.

OWU has a rocky history with sustainability efforts. Many higher education institutions believe that they must be leaders in finding solutions to the environmental crisis by developing and promoting the knowledge, tools, and technologies needed to transition to a sustainable society. As the environmental movement emerged and developed in the 1960s and the 1970s, OWU established an Environmental Studies major, the first such program in an academic institution in Ohio. In its nearly 40-year existence, the program has produced hundreds of majors that have gone on to successful careers related to the environment. In 2009, a Sustainability Task Force was created to evaluate the President’s Climate Commitment (PCC), which 80% of students voted to support. Despite the lack of any direct negative consequences for not meeting the PCC goals, the Task Force was concerned about the capital investments

and employee time needed to implement and monitor the necessary energy efficiency upgrades to campus facilities, and recommended that a sustainability coordinator be hired (rather than signing the PCC). In 2011, an American Recovery and Reinvestment Act grant funded a 2-year sustainability coordinator position. The university hired Sean Kinghorn for the position, and his efforts generated significant rebate funds for the university, as well as energy-saving efforts and dozens of sustainability projects (many led by students). In 2013, Kinghorn’s position ended, after the failure of several grants intended to acquire additional funds for the position. A student protest later that year demonstrated student commitment to the sustainability coordinator position. With the decision not to sign the PCC and the lack of funds to continue the sustainability coordinator position, one might expect the prospects for sustainability on campus to fade. At that point, the campus Sustainability Task Force set out on an effort to encourage grassroots sustainability efforts and create a campus sustainability plan, despite the setbacks.

The Sustainability Task Force is not an official campus committee; it is voluntary and open to all students, staff, and faculty. It coordinates and promotes sustainability on campus through the efforts of students, faculty, and staff working on projects in courses, as student-independent studies, through student organizations (such as the Tree House campus residence and the Environment & Wildlife Club), and as campus services (such as Buildings & Grounds and food service). Sustainability at OWU is one large, distributed, and voluntary collaboration. There are no experts and no one really in charge, but participation in sustainability efforts continues to grow, as do successful sustainability projects on campus.

Of course, this grassroots approach has its difficulties. There is a tendency for projects initiated by an individual student or a small group of students to work in the short term, until those students graduate and the project atrophies and eventually fails. OWU has many of these sustainability failures in its past. OWU students first developed a campus garden to grow food in the 1960s. Over the years there have been at least a half dozen such gardens. They are developed (often with student funding), exist for a few seasons, and then devolve into a large weedy eyesore. Our latest campus garden is currently in that weedy, decrepit stage, abandoned along with the special raised garden frames and portable greenhouse purchased with student funds. Another student received thousands of dollars to develop a campus bike share program in 2009. In 2011, the student graduated, and soon after all the bikes were abandoned, broken, or stolen; now the program is completely defunct. Funds from the regional waste authority were acquired in 2011 for campus composting. The effort required student volunteers to sort through campus dining services food waste to remove trash or contaminated materials. Students were initially excited to participate, but excitement faded fast: saving the Earth through sorting mounds of rotting food quickly lost its allure.

Given these experiences, grassroots campus sustainability may seem like a doomed cycle of “develop then fail.” Such abjectly uncoordinated sustainability is just not sustainable. Yet the problem of no sustainability coordinator, no one on campus with expertise in sustainability, and very limited funds remained.

## **COORDINATING SUSTAINABILITY WITHOUT A SUSTAINABILITY COORDINATOR**

Despite these setbacks there have been successful efforts, not the least of which is the university’s newly proposed sustainability plan. Indeed, the cycles of failure of sustainability efforts on campus were a primary motivation for efforts by the campus Sustainability Task Force to develop a sustainability plan: significant effort and moderate funds were being put into perpetually failing projects. The plan was built on the foundation of efforts of the former sustainability coordinator; however, the development of the plan grew from the voluntary work of a grassroots group of students, faculty, and staff. The sustainability plan was created by students in Geography 499: Sustainability Practicum as overseen by the STF and the course instructor, Dr. John Krygier (the chair of the Environmental Studies program since 2010). Neither Krygier nor any of the students in the course had any clue about how to construct a sustainability plan when the course started. While initially rather disconcerting and even stressful, the students came to embrace their role: no one else was going to create a sustainability plan, so it was up to them.

Much thought was put into the reasons for the lack of successful sustainability efforts on campus. One key lesson learned from the failure to sign the PCC was that external, generic sustainability goals were simply not appropriate for our particular campus. Those creating the plan worked to make sure that all goals were appropriate for the institution, internally initiated rather than externally imposed. The students gathered information about hundreds of sustainability efforts on campus and began to shape what became a 40-page document. It became clear that this huge document was not really a plan, so the Sustainability Practicum was offered again and the effort focused on creating a much more succinct plan with short-, medium-, and long-term goals (see Proposed Sustainability Plan, above). Importantly, the goals were developed in consultation with students, faculty, staff, and administration. Student Emily Howald, as part of a course project and independent study, met with several academic committees, dozens of faculty, Buildings & Grounds, campus food service, student groups, and others for feedback on the plan. Concerns were considered and changes made. The plan was fine-tuned to the institution. Also important was the inclusion of a subset of campus sustainability projects that we could focus upon, semester after semester, in an attempt to stop the cyclic development and failure of sustainability projects on campus. This lent a level of coordination with a series of sustainability



projects: course projects, student group projects, and efforts by the campus food service and Buildings & Grounds are focused on making this subset of projects work. As the Sustainability Task Force awaits official adoption of the Sustainability Plan (Fig. 29.2), positive outcomes are emerging from the slightly coordinated yet distributed and grassroots approach to sustainability at OWU.

## “SCRAPPY SUSTAINABILITY” OUTCOMES

In 2012, OWU Environmental Studies student Sarah D’Alexander organized (as part of a class project) the first “May Move Out” at OWU. The goal was simple: to collect, rather than discard, usable materials left behind by students as they moved off campus at the end of the spring semester. The effort was successful in collecting tons of clothing, furniture, appliances, bikes, etc

Ohio Wesleyan University March 29 2017

# Sustainability Plan

As a liberal arts higher education institution, Ohio Wesleyan University must strive to promote sustainability through educational, technical, and social means. The Ohio Wesleyan Sustainability Plan is intended to invigorate and expand a culture of sustainability on campus with an eye on the future of humans and the earth's environment.

- 1 Commit to a Sustainable Future on Campus and in the Community**
  - Strengthen Environmental Studies Program
  - Fund sustainability coordinator with support & facilities by 2020
  - 5% yearly growth in student sustainability involvement
- 2 Reduce Our Impact on Global Climate Change**
  - Commit to energy-efficient building investments
  - Utilize 5% solar or renewable energy on campus by 2020
  - Install solar panels on campus for learning purposes
  - Annual student run energy reduction challenge initiated in 2017
- 3 Increase Our Health and Well-being**
  - Commit to a farm and food collaboration with Stratford Ecological Center and the Methodist Theological School's Seminary Hill Farm by 2017
  - Purchase 15% of campus food from local and regional sources by 2020
  - Develop a long-term plan for composting by 2018
  - Maintain and grow student-run Food Recovery Network and related programs
- 4 Live Better on Campus and on Earth**
  - Develop Sustainable Living model residence option by 2019
  - OWU activity courses on sustainable practices by 2017
  - Maintain and expand May Move Out
  - New campus bird, animal, insect and plant habitat enhancement each year
  - Expand reusable container program for campus food by 20% each year

FIGURE 29.2 The Ohio Wesleyan University Proposed Sustainability Plan, page 1 (of 4). Pages 2 and 3 detail the four areas of focus outlined on page 1.



**FIGURE 29.3** May Move Out storage container for student donations to Goodwill. *Photo Credit: John Krygier.*

(Fig. 29.3). The logistics were complicated: students had to move usable materials to several rooms on campus, and staff drove trucks full of materials to local social service providers. A significant amount of collected materials were also stored on campus, in an unused building, with a desire to open a free store the following fall. Ultimately, this model failed. It involved too much labor and organization. In addition, the planned free store never opened, and much of the stored material had to be discarded when a need arose for the building that housed the materials.

Instead of letting the May Move Out effort end, we encouraged students along with staff in Buildings & Grounds and Residential Life to rethink the May Move Out. A student, again part of a course project, came up with a simpler process: renting storage pods, which were located near dumpsters during the May Move Out period and used for donated items. The pods would then be emptied by our local Goodwill. This approach required minimal labor, but did incur costs for the pod rental, which was funded by a small grant from our local solid waste authority. The May Move Out in collaboration with Goodwill was a success in its first year: diverting over 10 tons of materials.

Alas, without the grant there were concerns about the cost of the storage pod rental. Buildings & Grounds foreman Jay Scheffel came up with a plan to reduce the number and size of trash dumpsters (thus reducing costs), given that tons of materials were being diverted. With Scheffel's plan in place, the reduced dumpster costs covered the cost of the pods. We are now able to divert over 10 tons of materials each May as donations to Goodwill without incurring additional costs. In addition, only a handful of volunteers are required. The moral of the story here is that persistence, experimentation, and collaboration between students, staff, and faculty over a number of years resulted in implementation of a low-cost successful sustainability effort on campus.

In the fall of 2014, another Environmental Studies student, Allie France, noticed the large amount of waste thrown away in our campus dining halls, especially the throw-away takeout containers, used by many students on campus, which could be seen filling many of the campus garbage receptacles after lunch and dinner. Why doesn't OWU use reusable carryout containers? This is the kind of question one often hears from environmentally-conscious students. The course instructor suggested Allie do something about the situation as a course project. Allie embarked on what she thought would be an easy task: convince our campus food service to offer reusable containers. However, such sustainability efforts are never easy.

Initially Allie was encouraged by the response from campus food service: they would love to offer students reusable carryout containers. Alas, soon afterward it became evident that our old campus industrial dishwasher could not handle the increased demand for washing reusable containers. Indeed, the shift to throw-away containers and utensils was in part the result of the inadequate dishwasher. All of a sudden Allie was faced with learning much more about industrial dishwashers than she ever imagined. What she suspected was that our old dishwasher was very inefficient, and a new dishwasher would quickly recoup costs due to energy savings alone while allowing the OWU food service to offer reusable food containers. At this point the semester was over, as was Allie's course project. In the spring, Allie continued to work on the project. She worked with Buildings & Grounds to develop a return on investment (ROI) analysis for a new dishwasher. She then had to go to the campus Finance Officer. There were many infrastructure projects on campus ahead of the dishwasher, and, indeed, it was not even on the radar. However, the short ROI (around 2 years) and the fact that Allie had drawn attention to the issue moved the effort forward, a new dishwasher was purchased and installed in the spring of 2015 and reusable food containers were offered in the fall of 2015.

The reusable food container initiative faced some significant hurdles, again illustrating how complicated initiating sustainability projects can be (Fig. 29.4). Unfortunately, despite each container having a bar code, our campus information system (used by food service, the library, for student records, and IDs, etc.) was old enough that there was no easy way to modify the code to allow students to “check out” reusable containers. Replacing the campuswide information system was also not feasible. Thus students paid \$5 when they took a reusable container and were given \$5 when they personally handed the containers back at certain food service locations on campus. A student project in the fall of 2016 surveyed students about the reusable containers. The additional effort involved in returning the containers proved too much for many students. In addition, some students indicated that carrying around the reusable container suggested the image of an “eco freak.” These students were all for the reusable containers, but they did not seem themselves as part of the “ecological clique” on campus and felt uncomfortable using the



**FIGURE 29.4** Forlorn reusable food container, discarded near a trash can on campus. *Photo Credit: John Krygier.*

containers because of the image they projected. Addressing these issues took some effort, and several more students, Izzy Sommerdorf and Sarah Hanes, took on the project in the spring of 2017, have increased the number of drop-off locations, and come up with a simple suggestion to encourage the use of reusable containers: provide *larger* reusable containers and *smaller* throw-away containers. The idea is to make up for the added hassle of returning the container by allowing students to pile more food into the reusable containers. While this tweak to the process has led to increased use of the reusable containers, it also may lead to more food waste: sustainability efforts are always complicated. Once again, the moral of the story is persistence, experimentation, and collaboration between students, staff, and faculty over a number of years to put in place a low-cost successful sustainability effort on campus.

These are not the only success stories from years of grassroots efforts. In addition to the creation of an institutional sustainability plan, many other accomplishments in sustainability have taken hold. New and renovated buildings on campus are now routinely upgraded for energy efficiency such as the new geothermal-regulated pool in Meek Aquatic Center (these building improvements are, indeed, one instance of a significant investment in sustainability by the university). The university has hosted several successful years of the Sagan National Colloquium with environmental topics such as Food, Waste, Water, and Climate Change, bringing experts from around the

world to Delaware, Ohio, to share their insights. Campus dining halls now feature vegan options, many more local food options, and a general movement toward serving less meat. The recycling program has been successful for many years and has transitioned from a grassroots effort (begun in the 1980s) by students emptying recycling bins to having this task incorporated into housekeeping duties. Each year the students host Green Week, a collection of events and activities related to Earth Day and the environment. All campus printers are set to print double-sided pages as part of Information Services Print Green Initiative. Each of these efforts experienced similar troubles as those mentioned earlier, yet persistence and creativity led to success.

The university is expanding the number of filtered water hydration stations on campus, as an alternative to bottled water, rather than “banning the bottle.” Student research determined that athletes were among the largest purchasers of bottled water, as there were no hydration stations in most of the campus athletic facilities. Hydration stations are being installed in six locations, almost all in athletic facilities, this fall, and a student was awarded \$800 to buy OWU water bottles to promote the new hydration station to athletes.

There is also work on two related sustainable food issues. The first effort is to revive the campus garden and develop a means for sustaining it over time. To these ends, Environmental Studies student and Sustainability Development intern, Emily Howald, has developed a plan to offer campus “activity courses” (partial credit courses offered by the physical education program on campus, typically activities like yoga, running, and conditioning) that involve gardening. These courses will be offered in the second half of the spring semester (planting/harvesting early crops) and first half of the fall semester (planting/harvesting late crops) to take into account Ohio’s growing season. In addition, students Maddie Coalmer and Larynn Cutshaw undertook a project to document a dozen out-of-the-way locations on campus to plant perennial crops (asparagus, mint, raspberries), which require minimal maintenance.

Second, due to increasing student interest in local foods, student Ellen Sizer undertook a project to get more local foods on campus. She developed a proposal for a “Hyper Local Salad Bar,” which will be supplied by the nearby Seminary Hill organic farm, part of the Methodist Theological School of Ohio, managed by Tad Peterson and Noel Deehr. Tad and Noel have the capacity to provide many salad bar ingredients year round by using a greenhouse as well as a local food network (of organic farms) that they have developed.

Finally, OWU is expanding its sustainability and environmental vision beyond campus. During the fall of 2015 (and again during fall, 2017) we have offered a travel learning course focused on assessing environmental change, with a strong sustainability component, led by OWU Geography faculty member Nathan Amador Rowley. Students and faculty in the course work with Geoporter, a nongovernmental organization located in Bahia Ballena, Uvita, Costa Rica. Amy Work, a 2004 OWU Geography major, manages Geoporter. As residents of a coastal area in transition from a fishing economy to one based





**FIGURE 29.5** OWU Faculty member Nathan Amador (left) and Amy Wok (OWU 2004, right) and various assistants hone in on a drone during an OWU Travel Learning trip to Costa Rica. *Photo Credit: John Krygier.*

on ecotourism, community members in Bahia Ballena, Uvita, are interested in understanding their natural environment and the potential impacts of global environmental change. Amy has been working with her community members to collect and map environmental information (including garbage, water quality, and whales) for several years, providing a solid basis in practice. OWU students learn the practice of data collection and mapping, but also, importantly, develop an understanding of the theories and concepts required to analyze and understand collected data (Fig. 29.5). Theories and concepts are put into practice in Costa Rica, the collaboration designed so that students and community members in Bahia Ballena, Uvita, will come to understand both the theory and practice of environmental change at a range of scales.

## A NEW MODEL FOR SUSTAINABILITY?

The aforementioned examples illustrate the idea of grassroots, distributed (but not too grassroots and too distributed) kind of sustainability: students, staff, and faculty figure out how to make sustainability happen on campus with no full time staff and limited, devoted funds. Sustainability is not going to happen otherwise, at least in the short term. Upon reflection, there are some benefits to this approach to sustainability.

Most, if not all of these projects have required substantive collaboration between students, staff, and faculty. Creative and viable solutions arise from the cooperation of a diverse set of minds, all of whom can contribute some specific kind of expertise to the effort. In a way, this approach lends itself to more integration of sustainability across campus, and more active engagement, without depending on (or deferring to) one individual (a sustainability coordinator) for guidance and leadership. The engagement of an increasing number

of students provides many excellent theory-into-practice experiences, a significant part of a student’s education at OWU. Success after facing many challenges, but moving forward anyway, may be more meaningful given the persistence it requires. This persistence and creative engagement reveals dedication and commitment to environmental causes. Finally, this approach has put in place a strong foundation of sustainability upon which a sustainability coordinator, if one is hired in the future, can build.

The OWU Sustainability Task Force did not set out to develop a model for low-resource, high-engagement sustainability, but we have developed one, by experimentation, collaboration, and persistence. We are still learning and plotting new ways to get sustainability to work on campus, but we are making progress. We hope this model may help other colleges, businesses, and organizations in similar situations make sustainability move forward, as it inevitably must, despite the numerous obstacles to doing what is necessary and right.